IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL A. CARLSON, et al., : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:15-CV-2452

•

Plaintiffs : (Chief Judge Conner)

:

v.

:

JOSH SHAPIRO, in his official : Capacity as Attorney General of the : Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, et al., :

•

Defendants

ORDER

AND NOW, this 10th day of August, 2017, upon consideration of defendants' letter to the court dated June 29, 2017, and the attached documents produced to the court for *in camera* review pursuant to our order (Doc. 67) dated June 14, 2017, wherein defendants assert that the enclosed documents are protected from discovery by attorney-client privilege, and it appearing that plaintiffs dispute that the government may assert attorney-client privilege, (see Doc. 63 at 20-21), and contend that, assuming *arguendo* the privilege exists, the at-issue exception to privilege should apply, (id. at 21-22), and the court observing *first*, that the government may invoke attorney-client privilege in civil litigation, see <u>United States v. Jicarilla Apache Nation</u>, 564 U.S. 162, 170 (2011); Ross v. City of Memphis, 423 F.3d 596, 601 (6th Cir. 2005); *In re* Allen, 106 F.3d 582, 600 (4th Cir. 1997); see also *In re* Thirty-Third Statewide Investigating Grand Jury, 86 A.3d 204, 223 (Pa. 2014), and, *second*, that the at-issue exception to attorney-client privilege does not automatically apply when advice of counsel may be relevant to litigation, see

Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Inc. v. Home Indem. Co., 32 F.3d 851, 863 (3d Cir. 1994);

Mine Safety Appliances Co. v. N. River Ins. Co., 73 F. Supp. 3d 544, 571-72 (W.D. Pa. 2014), and the court noting that, upon thorough *in camera* review of the documents over which defendants claim attorney-client privilege, all documents contain communications between government employees and attorneys concerning legal advice for the benefit of the Commonwealth, qualifying them as protected under the attorney-client privilege, see Jicarilla Apache Nation, 564 U.S. at 170; *In re* Thirty-Third Statewide Investigating Grand Jury, 86 A.3d at 223, and the court finding that the at-issue exception does not apply in the instant circumstance, see Fid. & Deposit Co. of Maryland v. McCulloch, 168 F.R.D. 516, 520 (E.D. Pa. 1996) (quoting Rhone-Poulenc, 32 F.3d at 863), it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' objections (Doc. 63 at 20-22) to defendants' privilege log are OVERRULED.

/S/ CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
Christopher C. Conner, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Middle District of Pennsylvania